

a Nevada limited liability :
 company; :
 JESUS RALLIES IN CHICAGOLAND, INC., :
 an Illinois corporation; :
 PRIMA ART INTERNATIONAL, INC., :
 a New Jersey limited liability :
 company; :
 and INTERNATIONAL BUSINESS :
 CONSULTING, INC., :
 a Nevada corporation, :
 :
 :
 :
 :
 Defendants. :

This matter was presented to the Court by Anne Milgram, Attorney General of New Jersey, on behalf of Vincent J. Oliva, the former Chief of the New Jersey Bureau of Securities ("Bureau"), (Deputy Attorney General Toral Makani Joshi, appearing), pursuant to N.J.S.A. 49:3-69(a), R. 4:52-1 and R. 4:67 for violations of the New Jersey Uniform Securities Law (1997), N.J.S.A. 49:3-47 et seq., ("Securities Law") and on the application of Anne Milgram, Attorney General of New Jersey, on behalf of the Chief of the Bureau, by Order to Show Cause, on September 19, 2008, this Court issued an Order Granting Plaintiff Preliminary Injunctive Relief Against Defendants.

Defendants Robert Schroy ("Schroy"), Worldwide Marketing Network, Inc. ("Worldwide") and Jesus Rallies in Chicagoland, Inc. ("Jesus Rallies"), (Worldwide and Jesus Rallies are collectively referred to as "Defendant Companies"), without admitting the allegations set forth in Plaintiff's Verified Complaint, represented by Sonnenblick Parker & Selvers, P.C. (Jerome M.

Selvers, Esq., appearing) and Plaintiff have agreed to resolve any and all issues in controversy in this action, on the terms set forth in this Final Judgment and Consent Order, which terms have, with the consent of Amy Kopleton, Acting Bureau Chief, defendant Schroy, and the Defendant Companies, been reviewed and approved by the Honorable Robert P. Contillo, J.S.C., as confirmed by the entering of this Final Judgment and Consent Order.

Solely for the purpose of settling this proceeding, and without defendant Schroy admitting the allegations set forth in Plaintiff's Verified Complaint and without admitting or denying the findings set forth below, Amy Kopleton, the Acting Bureau Chief, makes the following findings of fact and conclusions of law:

- a) From approximately March 2007 through October 2007, defendant Schroy and his co-defendants raised money from at least ten (10) investors by selling unregistered securities in the form of investment contracts. Over \$500,000.00 was raised from the sale of investment contracts, which promised investors high yield returns upon the successful conclusion of an Asset Enhancement Contract. No money was ever invested, as the investment did not exist;
- b) Schroy and others misappropriated investor funds by using it for their own (or their families') personal benefit;

- c) Schroy was the President of Worldwide and on the Board of Directors of Jesus Rallies. These entities were used by Schroy to launder investor money for his (or his family's) personal benefit;
- d) Schroy and others employed a scheme in violation of N.J.S.A. 49:3-52(a) to defraud investors by:
 - i. failing to disclose or misrepresenting the nature and the risks of the investments to investors, when in truth and in fact there was no investment;
 - ii. continuing to mislead investors regarding their investments; and/or
 - iii. misappropriating investor funds for personal benefit and use;
- e) Schroy and others made materially false and misleading statements to investors in connection with the offer and sale of securities in violation of N.J.S.A. 49:3-52(b), including but not limited to:
 - i. that the overseas venture was a secret and should not be disclosed to anyone;
 - ii. that the overseas venture involved a "trade" between countries;
 - iii. that the overseas venture would provide great returns varying from 12% and 35% per week

(depending on the investor) of their investment for a minimum of forty (40) weeks;

- iv. that there was a "high probability" of the successful conclusion of the "Asset Enhancement Contract";
- v. that the investment contracts identified Schroy as a "Registered Broker";
- vi. that a percentage of the profits were going to charitable purposes or humanitarian efforts; and/or
- vii. when the conclusion of the "Asset Enhancement Contract" would take place.

f). Schroy and others omitted material facts in statements to investors in connection with the offer and sale of securities in violation of N.J.S.A. 49:3-52(b), including but not limited to:

- i. the specific details pertaining to the "Asset Enhancement Contract";
- ii. that there was no investment;
- iii. that investor funds would be used for the personal benefit of the defendants and/or their families;
- iv. that the securities offered for sale by Talbot and/or Schroy were not registered with the Bureau, exempt from registration or federally covered; and/or

v. that neither Talbot nor Schroy were registered with the Bureau;

g) Schroy and others engaged in conduct in violation of N.J.S.A. 49:3-52(c) by, among other things, misrepresenting the nature and risks of the investments, when in reality there was no investment, failing to return investor funds while misappropriating investors funds for personal expenses and use, operated as a fraud and/or deceit upon the investors; and

h) At all relevant times, Schroy served as an unregistered agent of the issuer by selling and/or attempting to sell unregistered securities in violation of N.J.S.A. 49:3-56(a) and N.J.S.A. 49:3-60.

IT IS on this 8th day of January, 2009,

ORDERED AND AGREED:

PERMANENT INJUNCTIVE RELIEF

1. Defendant Schroy, individually and by or through any corporation, business entity, agent, employee, partner, officer, director, attorney, stockholder and/or any other person who is directly or indirectly under his control or direction, is permanently restrained and enjoined from directly or indirectly violating the Securities Law and, specifically, from:

- (i) engaging in the securities business in New Jersey in any capacity, including, but not limited to, acting as a broker-dealer, agent, investment adviser, investment adviser representative or otherwise;
- (ii) offering for sale or selling, distributing, promoting, advertising, soliciting, negotiating, advancing the sale of and/or promoting securities, or advising regarding the sale of any securities, in any manner to, from, or within the State of New Jersey in violation of N.J.S.A. 49:3-60;
- (iii) issuing securities or engaging in any securities related activity in violation of the Securities Law;
- (iv) acting as an unregistered broker-dealer, unregistered agent, unregistered investment adviser, or unregistered investment adviser representative in the State of New Jersey in violation of N.J.S.A. 49:3-56 or seeking to be registered by the Bureau in any capacity; and
- (v) engaging in the conduct described in Plaintiff's Verified Complaint filed in this matter.

RESTITUTION

2. Defendant Schroy shall make restitution to investors in the amount of \$434,000.00 ("Restitution Amount") pursuant to N.J.S.A. 49:3-69(a)(2). The Restitution Amount is based upon Schroy's accounting and certification, which has been provided to

the Bureau Chief ("Schroy's Certification") and is incorporated by reference.

3. The Bureau Chief has relied on Schroy's Certification, regarding Schroy's conduct and restitution obligation. If the Bureau Chief determines that Schroy's Certification, was false in any respect, then the Bureau Chief may, upon seven (7) business days written notice to Schroy (which notice shall constitute good and sufficient notice by overnight mail to Schroy at Schroy's last known address), move for an order vacating this Final Judgment and Consent Order. If the Court grants the motion, then: (a) the Bureau shall have one year from entry of the granting of the motion to re-file a complaint or take administrative action against Schroy; and (b) Schroy shall be precluded from asserting any time defenses.

CIVIL MONETARY PENALTY

4. Defendant Schroy is assessed a civil monetary penalty, pursuant to N.J.S.A. 49:3-70.1, in the amount of \$80,000.00.

5. Payment of restitution and civil monetary penalty is immediately due and payable and shall be made by attorney trust fund account check, certified check or other guaranteed funds, made payable to the "State of New Jersey, Bureau of Securities" and delivered to the attention of the Bureau Chief, at the following address: Bureau of Securities, 153 Halsey Street, 6th Floor, Newark, New Jersey 07102.

FINAL JUDGMENT

6. As such, final judgment in the amount of \$514,000.00 is entered against defendant Robert Schroy, representing \$434,000.00 in restitution pursuant to N.J.S.A. 49:3-69(a)(2) and \$80,000.00 as a civil monetary penalty pursuant to N.J.S.A. 49:3-70.1.

GENERAL PROVISIONS

7. The parties acknowledge that for the purpose of enforcement of this Final Judgment and Consent Order, New Jersey law shall govern the terms and provisions herein.

8. Beginning on November 1, 2008 and until all obligations under this Final Judgment and Consent Order are met, defendant Schroy shall advise the Bureau of the current street address of where he resides, whether in the United States or abroad.

9. The parties represent that an authorized representative of each has signed this Final Judgment and Consent Order with full knowledge, understanding and acceptance of its terms and that this person has done so with authority to legally bind the respective party.

10. This Final Judgment and Consent Order is entered into by the parties as their own free and voluntary act and with full knowledge and understanding of the obligations and duties imposed by this Final Judgment and Consent Order.

11. Nothing in this Final Judgment and Consent Order shall in any manner be construed to limit or affect the rights of any

persons who may have a claim against defendant Schroy. The amount of any such claim shall be reduced by any amounts paid to such persons from other sources, including any restitution paid pursuant to this Final Judgment and Consent Order.

12. No employee or official of, or person representing, the Bureau of Securities or the State of New Jersey has made any additional promise or representation to defendant Schroy regarding this Final Judgment and Consent Order.

13. The parties have negotiated, jointly drafted and fully reviewed the terms of this Final Judgment and Consent Order and the rule that uncertainty or ambiguity is to be construed against the drafter shall not apply to the construction or interpretation of this Final Judgment and Consent Order.

14. As used in this Final Judgment and Consent Order, the plural shall include the singular and the singular shall include the plural. In addition, "or" and "and" shall be interpreted conjunctively.

15. Except as otherwise explicitly provided in this Final Judgment and Consent Order, nothing herein shall be construed to limit the authority of the Attorney General to protect the interests of the State or the people of the State.


16. If any portion of this Final Judgment and Consent Order is held invalid or unenforceable by operation of law, the remaining

terms of this Final Judgment and Consent Order shall not be affected.

17. This Final Judgment and Consent Order shall be binding upon the parties and their successors. In no event shall assignment of any right, power or authority under this Final Judgment and Consent Order avoid compliance with this Final Judgment and Consent Order.


18. Defendant Schroy shall not represent or imply that any business practice or other act or practice hereinafter used or engaged in by him has been required or approved, in whole or part, by the Attorney General, the Bureau of Securities, the State of New Jersey or any of the State's agencies, agents or subdivisions.

19. Unless otherwise prohibited by law, any signatures by the parties required for entry of this Final Judgment and Consent Order may be executed in counterparts, each of which shall be deemed an original, but all of which shall together be one and the same Final Judgment and Consent Order.



Honorable Robert P. Contillo, J.S.C.

Consent to the Form, Content
and Entry of this Consent Judgment:



Robert Schroy, Individually

Dated: 11/6/09

Consent to the Form, Content
and Entry of this Consent Judgment:

Robert Schroy

Dated: 1/6/09

Robert Schroy, as an officer and/or director
of defendant Worldwide Marketing Network, Inc.

Consent to the Form, Content
and Entry of this Consent Judgment:

Robert Schroy

Dated: 1/6/09

Robert Schroy, as an officer and/or director of
Jesus Rallies in Chicagoland, Inc.

Consent to the Form and Entry
of this Final Judgment and Consent Order:

SONNENBLICK PARKER & SELVERS, P.C.
Attorneys for Defendants Robert Schroy, Worldwide Marketing
Network, Inc. and Jesus Rallies in Chicagoland, Inc.

Jerome M. Selvers, Esq.

Dated: 1/5/09

ANNE MILGRAM
ATTORNEY GENERAL OF NEW JERSEY

By: Toral Makani Joshi
Torai Makani Joshi
Deputy Attorney General

Dated: 1/7/09