

JEFFREY S. CHIESA  
ATTORNEY GENERAL OF NEW JERSEY  
Division of Law  
124 Halsey Street, 5<sup>th</sup> Floor  
P.O. Box 45029  
Newark, New Jersey 07101

FILED 5/23/2013  
BOARD OF EXAMINERS OF  
MASTER PLUMBERS  
*Roseanne S. Brucille*  
*Acting Ex. Director*  
*\$750 pd in full (B)*

STATE OF NEW JERSEY  
DEPARTMENT OF LAW & PUBLIC SAFETY  
DIVISION OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS  
BOARD OF EXAMINERS OF MASTER  
PLUMBERS

IN THE MATTER OF	:	
	:	Administrative Action
ROBERT PARIN	:	
t/a BASSP Plumbing Corp.	:	FINAL ORDER
License # 36BI00960700	:	OF DISCIPLINE
	:	
TO PRACTICE PLUMBING	:	
IN THE STATE OF NEW JERSEY	:	
	:	

This matter was opened to the New Jersey State Board of Examiners of Master Plumbers (“the Board”) upon receipt of information which the Board has reviewed and on which the following preliminary findings of fact and conclusions of law are made:

**FINDINGS OF FACT**

1. Robert Parin (“respondent”) is a licensed master plumber in the State of New Jersey and has been licensed at all times relevant hereto. Respondent has been issued license number 36BI00960700.

2. The Board received a complaint from consumer R.L. concerning plumbing work, and other construction work, performed as part of the renovation of a bathroom of R.L.’s home. R.L. identified numerous deficiencies in the renovation of the bathroom including the allegations

that no permits were taken out for any of the work, the tub was not installed correctly, the tiles were not installed correctly, as well as other issues.

3. In response to the complaint, the Board received a letter from Gary A. Bundy, Esq. attorney for respondent, dated June 29, 2011. The letter was accompanied by a signed response from respondent. Respondent stated that R.L. acted as his own general contractor, and therefore R.L. was responsible for permit applications and the payment for permits. Respondent acknowledged there was no contract between BASSP Plumbing Corp. and R.L. All supplies and fixtures were provided by R.L. Respondent only agreed to provide labor. The labor provided by respondent was supervised by R.L.'s wife.

4. On September 22, 2011, respondent appeared before the Board for an investigative inquiry. Respondent admitted he did not fill out a contract expressly stating what services he would perform for R.L. and what the approximate cost would be. Respondent further stated that he did not take out any permits because he assumed that would be the homeowner's responsibility. Respondent admitted that the work was not done by licensed master plumbers and that he did not personally oversee the work.

#### **CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

1. The above preliminary findings of fact establish a basis for disciplinary action against respondent's license, pursuant to N.J.S.A. 45:1-21(e), in that respondent has engaged in professional and occupational misconduct by failing to issue a contract delineating and outlining the services to be provided to R.L. Additionally, the above preliminary findings of fact establish a basis for disciplinary action against respondent's license, pursuant to N.J.S.A. 45:1-21(h), in that respondent failed to comply with a regulation of the Board, specifically N.J.A.C. 13:32-3.3(a)(2), in that respondent failed to secure a permit for the plumbing work performed for R.L.

Finally, the above preliminary findings of fact establish a basis for disciplinary action against respondent's license, pursuant to N.J.S.A. 45:1-21(h), in that respondent failed to comply with a regulation of the Board, specifically N.J.A.C. 13:32-3.3(a)(3), in that respondent failed to properly supervise the plumbing work being performed for R.L.

#### DISCUSSION

Based on the foregoing findings and conclusions a Provisional Order of Discipline was entered on December 20, 2012 provisionally reprimanding respondent and assessing a \$250.00 civil penalty for engaging in professional and occupational misconduct, pursuant to N.J.S.A. 45:1-21(e), by failing to issue a contract delineating and outlining the services to be provided to R.L. Respondent was also provisionally reprimanded and assessed a \$250.00 penalty for failing to comply with a regulation of the Board, pursuant to N.J.S.A. 45:1-21(h), by failing to secure a permit for the plumbing work performed for R.L., in violation of N.J.A.C. 13:32-3.3(a)(2). Finally, respondent was provisionally reprimanded and assessed a \$250.00 penalty for failing to comply with a regulation of the Board, pursuant to N.J.S.A. 45:1-21(h), in that respondent failed to properly supervise the plumbing work being performed for R.L. in violation of N.J.A.C. 13:32-3.3(a)(3).

A copy of the Provisional Order was forwarded to respondent at the last known address on file with the Board by certified and regular mail. The Provisional Order was subject to finalization by the Board at 5:00 p.m. on the 30th business day following entry unless respondent requested a modification or dismissal of the Findings of Fact or Conclusions of Law by submitting a written request for modification or dismissal setting forth in writing any and all reasons why said findings and conclusions should be modified or dismissed and submitting any

and all documents or other written evidence supporting respondent's request for consideration and reasons therefor.

Following the entry and service of the Provisional Order of Discipline, respondent forwarded the civil penalty of \$750.00 to the Board. Accompanying the civil penalty was a copy of an e-mail respondent sent to himself. The subject of the e-mail read "Re: State Board of Examiners of Master Plumbers 9607". The e-mail itself indicated "Please find the attached check for \$750.00 to pay fines as described in the enclosed document.thank you" (sic). Respondent did not provide a written request for modification or dismissal of the provisional Findings of Fact or Conclusions of Law. As a result, the Board deems respondent's payment of the aggregate civil penalty, as well as his failure to provide a written request for modification or dismissal of the Provisional Order, as respondent not contesting the Board's findings. The Board is hereby finalizing the Provisional Order.

IT IS, on this 23 day of MAY 2013,  
HEREBY ORDERED THAT:

1. Respondent is hereby reprimanded for engaging in professional and occupational misconduct, pursuant to N.J.S.A. 45:1-21(e), by failing to issue a contract delineating and outlining the services to be provided to R.L. Respondent is also reprimanded for failing to comply with a regulation of the Board, pursuant to N.J.S.A. 45:1-21(h), by failing to secure a permit for the plumbing work performed for R.L., in violation of N.J.A.C. 13:32-3.3(a)(2). Finally, respondent is reprimanded for failing to comply with a regulation of the Board, pursuant to N.J.S.A. 45:1-21(h), in that respondent failed to properly supervise the plumbing work being performed for R.L. in violation of N.J.A.C. 13:32-3.3(a)(3).
2. Respondent is hereby assessed a \$250.00 civil penalty for engaging in

professional and occupational misconduct, pursuant to N.J.S.A. 45:1-21(e), by failing to issue a contract delineating and outlining the services to be provided to R.L. Additionally, respondent is hereby assessed a civil penalty, pursuant to N.J.S.A. 45:1-21(h), in the amount of \$250.00, in that respondent failed to comply with a regulation of the Board, specifically N.J.A.C. 13:32-3.3(a)(2), by failing to secure a permit for the plumbing work performed for R.L. Finally, respondent is hereby assessed a civil penalty, pursuant to N.J.S.A. 45:1-21(h), in the amount of \$250.00, in that respondent failed to comply with a regulation of the Board, specifically N.J.A.C. 13:32-3.3(a)(3), in that respondent failed to properly supervise the plumbing work being performed for R.L. The Board acknowledges that respondent has paid the entire civil penalty totalling \$750.00 prior to the entry of his order.

3. Respondent shall cease and desist from the violations described herein. Subsequent violations will subject respondent to enhanced penalties pursuant to N.J.S.A. 45:1-25.

By: Peter I. Voros  
Peter I. Voros  
Board Chairman