

JOHN J. HOFFMAN  
ACTING ATTORNEY GENERAL OF NEW JERSEY  
Division of Law 5th Floor  
124 Halsey Street  
P.O. Box 45029  
Newark, New Jersey 07101  
Attorney for the Board of Examiners of Electrical Contractors



STATE OF NEW JERSEY  
DEPARTMENT OF LAW & PUBLIC SAFETY  
DIVISION OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS  
BOARD OF EXAMINERS OF ELECTRICAL CONTRACTORS

\_\_\_\_\_  
IN THE MATTER OF THE SUSPENSION  
OR REVOCATION OF THE  
TELECOMMUNICATIONS EXEMPTION OF

ANDREW ZADOROZNY  
t/a FASTLINK CABLING  
Telecommunications Exemption #34TC00090500

FROM THE LICENSE REQUIREMENTS  
TO PRACTICE ELECTRICAL CONTRACTING  
IN THE STATE OF NEW JERSEY  
\_\_\_\_\_

Administrative Action

FINAL ORDER  
OF DISCIPLINE

This matter was opened to the New Jersey Board of Examiners of Electrical Contractors ("Board") upon receipt of information which the Board has reviewed and on which the following findings of fact and conclusions of law are made:

FINDINGS OF FACT

1. Andrew Zadorozny, ("respondent"), t/a "Fastlink Cabling", received a telecommunications wiring exemption from the Board on June 25, 2002, pursuant to N.J.A.C. 13:31-4.1. On August 28, 2009, the Board received a complaint from Richard W. Mackiewicz, Jr. Esq. on behalf of consumer M.K. The complaint alleged that respondent was given a deposit in the amount of \$7,300.00, on or about October 7, 2009, to install wiring and cabling in a new office. M.K. alleged she requested the return of her deposit on December 29, 2009 because no work was performed. Respondent failed to return the deposit.

2. The Board sent a letter to respondent's address of record, dated April 29, 2010, via regular. The letter requested that respondent provide a written response to the Board concerning the complaint received from Richard W. Mackiewicz, Jr., Esq. The regular mail was

returned to the Board indicating "Not Deliverable as Addressed-Unable to Forward". Respondent failed to provide the requested written response to the Board.

3. The Board sent a second letter to respondent, dated May 23, 2012, via regular and certified mail, to a new address obtained by the Board. Again, the letter requested that respondent provide a written response to the complaint. The certified mail was returned to the Board indicating "Unclaimed". The regular mail was not returned. Respondent failed to provide the requested written response to the Board.

4. The Board sent a third letter to respondent, dated May 31, 2013, via regular and certified mail. Again, the letter requested that respondent provide a written response to the complaint. The certified and regular mail were both returned to the Board indicating "Not Deliverable as Addressed-Unable to Forward". Respondent failed to provide the requested written response to the Board.

5. The Board sent an e-mail to respondent, dated February 16, 2014. Once again, the e-mail requested that respondent provide a written response to the complaint. The e-mail was returned to the Board indicating "Undeliverable". To date, respondent has failed to provide the requested written response to the Board concerning the complaint received from Richard W. Mackiewicz, Jr., Esq.

#### CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

1. The above findings of fact provide grounds for disciplinary action against respondent's license, pursuant to N.J.S.A. 45:1-21(e), in that respondent engaged in professional or occupational misconduct by repeatedly failing to provide information requested by the Board in violation of N.J.A.C. 13:45C-1.3.

#### DISCUSSION

Based on the foregoing findings and conclusions, a Provisional Order of Discipline was entered on May 14, 2015. A copy of the Provisional Order was forwarded to respondent's address of record, via certified and regular mail. The regular and certified mail were both returned to the Board indicating "Not Deliverable as Addressed-Unable to Forward." The Provisional Order was subject to finalization by the Board at 5:00 p.m. on the 30<sup>th</sup> business day following its entry unless respondent requested a modification or dismissal, setting forth in writing any and all reasons why said findings and conclusions should be modified or dismissed.

The Board finds that the Provisional Order of Discipline was sent to respondent's address of record. N.J.A.C. 13:31-1.8(b) provides that service of an administrative complaint or other

process initiated by the Board, at the licensee or business permit holder's address of record, shall be deemed adequate notice for the commencement of any inquiry or disciplinary proceeding against the licensee or business permit holder. Since the Provisional Order was served at respondent's address of record, the Board deems service to be effected. Respondent cannot evade process by failing to respond. As such, the Board determined that the Provisional Order of Discipline, entered on May 14, 2015, is to be finalized as written.

ACCORDINGLY, IT IS on this 4th day of November, 2015,

HEREBY ORDERED that:


1. Respondent's telecommunications exemption (#34TC 00090500) from the license requirements to practice electrical contracting in the State of New Jersey is hereby suspended until such time as respondent provides a written response to the Board concerning the complaint received from Richard W. Mackiewicz, Jr. Esq. on behalf of consumer M.K.

2. Respondent is hereby reprimanded for his violations of N.J.S.A. 45:1-21(e), as stated above.

3. Respondent is hereby assessed a civil penalty in the amount of two thousand five hundred dollars (\$2,500.00), pursuant to N.J.S.A. 45:1-21(e), for engaging in occupational or professional misconduct by failing to provide a written response to the Board concerning the complaint received from Richard W. Mackiewicz, Jr. Esq. on behalf of consumer M.K.

4. Nothing in this order precludes the Board from taking disciplinary action regarding the conduct detailed in the complaint received from Richard W. Mackiewicz, Jr., Esq., dated August 28, 2009, on behalf of consumer M.K.

BOARD OF EXAMINERS OF ELECTRICAL CONTRACTORS

By.   
Joseph P. Schooley  
Board Chairman