

JOHN J. HOFFMAN  
ACTING ATTORNEY GENERAL OF NEW JERSEY  
Division of Law  
124 Halsey Street - 5<sup>th</sup> Floor  
P.O. Box 45029  
Newark, New Jersey 07101  
Attorney for Plaintiffs

**FILED**

JUN 01 2015

By: Russell M. Smith, Jr.  
Deputy Attorney General

RAYMOND A. BATTEN, P.J.Ch.

SUPERIOR COURT OF NEW JERSEY,  
CHANCERY DIVISION, ATLANTIC  
COUNTY, DOCKET NO. C-69-14

JOHN J. HOFFMAN, Acting Attorney General of  
the State of New Jersey, and STEVE C. LEE,  
Acting Director of the New Jersey Division of  
Consumer Affairs,

Plaintiffs,

v.

SHOREPRO CONTRACTORS, LLC;  
CHRISTOPHER N. MOLOSSO, SR., individually  
and as an owner, officer, director, shareholder,  
founder, manager, agent, servant, employee, and  
representative of SHOREPRO CONTRACTORS,  
LLC; JANE AND JOHN DOES 1-10, individually  
and as owners, officers, directors, shareholders,  
founders, managers, agents, servants, employees,  
representatives and/or independent contractors of  
SHOREPRO CONTRACTORS, LLC; and XYZ  
CORPORATIONS 1-10,

Defendants.

Civil Action

**FINAL JUDGMENT BY  
DEFAULT AND ORDER**

THIS MATTER was opened to the Court on the application of plaintiffs John J. Hoffman, Acting Attorney General of the State of New Jersey ("Attorney General"), and Steve C. Lee, Acting Director of the New Jersey Division of Consumer Affairs ("Director") (collectively, "Plaintiffs"), Russell M. Smith, Jr., Deputy Attorney General, appearing, by way of a Complaint filed on October 17, 2014, alleging that ShorePro Contractors, LLC ("ShorePro") and Christopher N. Molosso, Sr. ("Molosso") (collectively, "Defendants") have, directly or through others, engaged in conduct in violation of the New Jersey Consumer Fraud Act, N.J.S.A. 56:8-1 et seq. ("CFA"), the Contractors' Registration Act, N.J.S.A. 56:8-136 et seq., and the regulations promulgated thereunder, specifically the Regulations Governing Home Improvement Practices, N.J.A.C. 13:45A-16.1 et seq. ("Home Improvement Regulations"), and the Regulations Governing Contractor Registration, N.J.A.C. 13:45A-17.1 et seq. ("Contractor Registration Regulations").

ShorePro and Molosso have failed to file Answers. On December 15, 2014, the Court entered default against ShorePro and Molosso, pursuant to R. 4:43-1. Defendants have not moved to vacate the defaults entered against them.

**THIS COURT NOW FINDS THAT:**

A. The Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this action and over the named Defendants.

B. Based upon the evidence submitted by Plaintiffs, including the Certification of Investigator Jared O'Conne with accompanying exhibits, and the Certification of Deputy Attorney General Russell M. Smith, Jr. with accompanying exhibits, the Defendants have engaged in conduct which comprises eighty-four (84) violations of the CFA, the Contractors' Registration

Act, the Home Improvement Regulations and the Contractor Registration Regulations, with the following breakdown: (a) Unconscionable Commercial Practices (N.J.S.A. 56:8-2) – twenty-two (22) violations; (b) False Promises and/or Misrepresentations (N.J.S.A. 56:8-2) – eight (8) violations; (c) the Contractors' Registration Act (N.J.S.A. 56:8-136 to -152) – nine (9) violations; (d) the Home Improvement Regulations (N.J.A.C. 13:35A-16.1 to 16.2) – nine (9) violations; and (e) the Contractor Registration Regulations (N.J.A.C. 13:35A-17.1 to 17.14) – thirty-six (36) violations.

THEREFORE, IT IS on this 15<sup>th</sup> day of June, 2015:

1. **ORDERED** that the acts and omissions of Defendants constitute multiple instances of unlawful practices in violation of the CFA, the Contractors' Registration Act, the Home Improvement Regulations and the Contractor Registration Regulations.

2. **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that Defendants and their owners, officers, directors, shareholders, founders, managers, agents, servants, employees, representatives, independent contractors and all other persons or entities directly under their control, are permanently enjoined from engaging in, continuing to engage in, or doing any acts or practices in violation of the CFA, the Contractors' Registration Act, the Home Improvement Regulations and/or the Contractor Registration Regulations, as authorized by the CFA, N.J.S.A. 56:8-8.

3. **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that Shore Pro's Home Improvement Contractor registration is revoked, as authorized by the CFA, N.J.S.A. 56:8-8.

4. **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that the Certificate of Formation in this State for ShorePro Contractors, LLC, is cancelled, as authorized by the CFA at N.J.S.A. 56:8-8.

5. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that, pursuant to the CFA, N.J.S.A. 56:8-8 Defendants, jointly and severally, shall pay to Plaintiffs consumer restitution in the total amount of \$ 76,810.08 . The funds paid by Defendants pursuant to this section of the Final Judgment by Default and Order ("Judgment and Order") shall be used for equitable relief including, but not limited to, consumer redress and any attendant expenses for the administration of any redress fund. If Plaintiffs determine, in their sole discretion, that redress to consumers is wholly or partially impracticable, any funds not so used shall be retained by the Division of Consumer Affairs in lieu of redress. Defendants shall have no right to contest the manner of distribution chosen by Plaintiffs. Plaintiffs in their sole discretion may use a designated agent to administer consumer redress.

6. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that, pursuant to the CFA, N.J.S.A. 56:8-13, Defendants, jointly and severally, shall pay to the Division civil penalties in the total amount of \$ 840,000.00 .

7. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that, pursuant to the CFA, N.J.S.A. 56:8-19, Defendants, jointly and severally, shall reimburse Plaintiffs for all attorneys' fees incurred in the prosecution of this action, in the total amount of \$ 18,111.55 .

8. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that, pursuant to the CFA, N.J.S.A. 56:8-11, Defendants, jointly and severally, shall reimburse Plaintiffs for their investigative costs, in the total amount of \$ 2882.30 .

9. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that nothing contained in this Judgment and Order, including the Court's determinations herein, shall bind or affect the rights of any persons not a party hereto, or preclude actions against any unnamed parties.

10. **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that nothing contained in this Judgment and Order shall bind or affect any position which any party may take in future or unrelated actions.

11. **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that this Judgment and Order may be enforced only by Plaintiffs or Defendants or their successors hereto.

12. **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that this Court retains jurisdiction for the purpose of enabling Plaintiffs or Defendants to apply to this Court for any such further orders and directions as may be necessary and appropriate for the enforcement of, or compliance with, this Judgment and Order.



HON. RAYMOND A. BATTEN, P.J.CH.

In accordance with the required statement of R. 1:6-2(a), this motion was:

Opposed

Unopposed