

State Board of Psychological Examiners

OPEN MINUTES FEBRUARY 3, 2003

The general meeting of the New Jersey State Board of Psychological Examiners was held at the Board office, 124 Halsey Street, New Jersey. The meeting was called to order at 9:50 A.M. by Victoria Jeffers, Ph.D., Chair.

PRESENT:

Victoria W. Jeffers, Ph.D., Chair
Kenneth A. Leight, Ph.D., Vice Chair
Mark Glat, Psy.D., Secretary
Kenneth G. Roy, Ed.D.
Margery B. Manheim, Ph.D.
Jeffry H. Tindall, Ph.D.
Keith Cicerone, Ph.D.
Carole M. Harper, M.A., R.N., Public Member
T. Stephen Patterson, Ph.D., Government Member

ALSO ATTENDING:

Paul C. Brush, Executive Director
Marilyn Bair, DAG, Counsel to Board
Wanda Y. Ginn, Secretarial Assistant
Carmella Volz, Assistant to the Executive Director

CLOSED SESSION AND RETURN TO OPEN SESSION

Upon motion made and seconded, the Board voted to go into closed session for discussion of the oral examinations. Voting in favor of the motion: all.

The Board returned to open session.

CREDENTIAL COMMITTEE REPORT:

TEMPORARY PERMIT-NOT TO EXCEED THREE YEARS

The following candidates were issued three-year temporary permits for the supervised practice of psychology:

John Miele, Ph.D.
Anne Farrar-Anton, Ph.D.
Patricia Kamarinos, Psy.D.
Catherine Stanzione, Psy.D.

TEMPORARY PERMIT-NOT TO EXCEED ONE YEAR

The following candidates were issued one-year temporary permits for the unsupervised practice of psychology:

Terri Horowitz, Ph.D.
Michele Daman, Ph.D.
Stacey Tuchin, Psy.D.
Richard Leit, Ph.D.
Sharon Barnes, Ph.D.

CORRESPONDENCE

Melissa Rochman, Psy.D.

Dr. Rochman wrote requesting an extension of the 90-day limit to submit her work sample for the oral examination.

Dr. Rochman stated that she is not prepared to submit her work sample to the Board office at this time and respectfully request that the Board grant her a six-month extension.

Upon motion made and seconded, the Board voted to grant Dr. Rochman an additional 90 days to submit her work sample. Voting in favor of the motion: all.

Michele Kinderman, Ph.D.

Dr. Kinderman wrote requesting an extension of the 90-day limit to submit her work sample for the oral examination.

Dr. Kinderman stated that since the recent birth of her son, she has not had the strength or energy to prepare her work sample for submission and respectfully request an additional 90-day extension.

Upon motion made and seconded, the Board voted to grant Dr. Kinderman an additional 90 days to submit her work sample. Voting in favor of the motion: all.

Michelle G. Daman, Ph.D.

Dr. Daman wrote requesting an extension of the 90-day limit to submit her work sample for the oral examination.

Dr. Daman stated that she needs to continue through the licensure process and respectfully request that the Board grant her an extension.

Upon motion made and seconded, the Board voted to grant Dr. Daman a six-month extension to submit her work sample. Voting in favor of the motion: all.

Ken A. Verni, Psy.D.

Dr. Verni wrote requesting another extension of time to submit his work sample for the oral examination.

Dr. Verni stated that his work sample was due in the Board office on December 31, 2002 and he was not able to meet that deadline date because of several job obligations .

Upon motion made and seconded, the Board voted to grant Dr. Verni and extension until February 28, 2003 to submit his work sample. Voting in favor of the motion: all.

Elaine Rybka, Psy.D.

Dr. Rybka wrote requesting an extension of her three-year temporary permit, supervised, that expires on February 9, 2003.

Dr. Rybka stated that she's in the process of studying for the EPPP examination which she plans to take within the next few months and respectfully request a one-year extension which would give her sufficient time to complete the oral examination requirement.

Upon motion made and seconded the Board voted to grant Dr. Rybka a six-month extension of her temporary permit, expiring on August 2003. Voting in favor of the motion: all.

Eric M. Umile, Psy.D.

Dr. Umile wrote requesting guidelines for submitting an evaluation work sample for the oral examination.

Dr. Umile stated that the Board's current oral exam guidelines did not have an attachment for an evaluation work sample.

Upon motion made and seconded, the Board directed the office staff to send Dr. Umile the assessment guidelines for preparing his work sample. Voting in favor of the motion: all.

Henry Meyerson, Ph.D.

Dr. Meyerson wrote requesting the issuance of a one-year temporary permit, unsupervised, based on his licensure in the State of New York since 1970.

Dr. Meyerson stated that his last supervision was some 35 years ago and he would not be able to submit a current work sample for the Board's oral examination requirement if granted the one-year temporary permit.

Upon motion made and seconded, the Board voted to grant Dr. Meyerson a three-year temporary permit, supervised, which would allow him to get a case in preparation for submitting a work sample for the oral examination. Voting in favor of the motion: all.

Ellen Reicher, Ph.D.

Dr. Reicher wrote requesting that she be permitted to submit a work sample for the oral examination that is more than one year old.

Dr. Reicher stated that her temporary permit was put on hold on February 2, 2002 because she was on maternity leave and was later activated on November 12, 2002. Dr. Reicher further stated that since resuming work, she has not obtained a case to present for the oral examination which would meet the Board's "current" work sample requirement.

Upon motion made and seconded, the Board voted to deny Dr. Reicher's request to submit a work sample that's more than one year old. Dr. Reicher was advised that she must submit a current work sample for the oral examination. Voting in favor of the motion: all.

Ilya Weiner, Psy.D.

Dr. Weiner wrote to clarify concerns with regards to supervision hours she received from Dr. Schneider from 1994 to 1997 whether they were consider post-doctoral hours.

The said supervision occurred when Dr. Weiner got her doctorate from a foreign institution but prior to receiving

her Psy.D. degree from a domestic school. Dr. Weiner further stated that she started her supervision with Dr. Schneider when she began preparing for the psychology licensure in Pennsylvania in 1994.

Upon motion made and seconded, the Board, after reviewing Dr. Weiner's licensing file voted to accept her credentials and supervision and issue her a one-year temporary permit, unsupervised. Dr. Weiner was further advised that she must submit her work sample for the oral examination within 90 days. Voting in favor of the motion: all.

Professor Gilbert M. Trachtman Ph.D.

Dr. Trachtman wrote to clarify concerns with regards to Meredith Rosenberg's courses listed on her New York University transcript as "not applicable to current program."

Dr. Trachtman explained that Dr. Rosenberg was admitted to the Certificate Program in School Psychology in 1995 and transferred to the Doctor of Psychology Program in 1997. All students in both the Certificate and Doctoral Programs who lack a Masters degree in Psychology upon entrance are programmed to complete a 36 credit supplementary Masters degree enroute to completion of their program. Additionally, Dr. Rosenberg has completed 102 credits in her doctorate .

Upon motion made and seconded, the Board voted to inform Dr. Rosenberg that according to the transcript submitted by New York University, part of the course work was for an Advanced Certificate Program and was unclear to the Board if the courses were integrated into part of the doctoral program.

Bancroft

Mr. Fogg wrote in response to the Board's inquiry concerning his clients, Bancroft Neuro Health.

Mr. Fogg submitted an exemption notice form for the Board's review to consider Bancroft an exempt entity.

Upon motion made by Dr. Patterson and seconded by Dr. Glat, the Board, after review of the submitted information, determined that is was unclear for whom within the large group of entities under the parent entity the exemption was being requested. The Board further requested that a separate budge for each entity requesting exemptions. Voting in favor of the motion: all.

REPORT ON PERMIT CONFERENCES HELD ON FEBRUARY 3, 2003-DR. ROY

Ofelia Rodriquez-Srednicki, Ph.D./Tara Donnelley, Ph.D., supervisor (present)

Dr. Rodriquez-Srednicki took and failed the E.P.P.P. computerized examination administered on October 29, 2002.

Upon motion made and seconded, the Board voted to continue Dr. Rodriquez-Srednicki's three-year permit until she receives her written examination results contingent upon her taking the E.P.P.P examination on or before July 1, 2003. Additionally, the Board advised Dr. Rodriquez-Srednicki that she is to take on no new cases. Voting in favor of the motion: all.

Mechele Martin, PhD./Joel Friedman, Ph.D., supervisor (present)

Dr. Martin took and failed the E.P.P.P. computerized examination administered on October 31, 2002.

Upon motion made by Dr. Roy and seconded by Dr. Patterson, the Board voted to extend Dr. Martin's three-year permit for one additional year contingent upon her taking the E.P.P.P. examination prior to the expiration of her permit on February 3, 2004. Additionally, the Board advised Dr. Martin that she is to take on no private

clients. Voting in favor of the motion: all.

DISCIPLINARY MATTERS

Andrew Cangelosi, Ph.D., #3118

Thomas Johnson, Ed.D. submitted his fifth quarterly report on the supervision of Dr. Cangelosi as required pursuant to the Consent Order filed on May 3, 1996.

Dr. Johnson recommended that Dr. Cangelosi's supervisory requirement be reduced to one hour per week and that he end his supervision with him and continue with Dr. Belz.

Upon motion made by Dr. Patterson and seconded by Dr. Tindall, the Board voted to reduce Dr. Cangelosi's supervision to one hour per week with Dr. Belz for the next twelve (12) months and terminate therapy with Dr. Steckler. Voting in favor of the motion: all.

John Rathauer, Ph.D., #1394

Thomas Johnson, Ed.D. submitted his seventh report and second quarterly report on the supervision of Dr. Rathauer as required pursuant to the Consent Order filed on July 23, 2001.

Dr. Johnson recommended that Dr. Rathauer's 24-patient weekly contact hour limit on his practice be lifted and that the supervision requirement is decreased to one supervision hour per week.

Upon motion made by Dr. Patterson and seconded by Dr. Leight, the Board voted to lift the patient limit and decrease the supervision requirement to one hour per week. Voting in favor of the motion: all.

RE-ADOPTION OF REGULATIONS

Danielle Swanson, Regulatory Analyst, met with the Board to discuss re-adoption of the regulations, N.J.A.C. 13:42 1 et. seq. that will sunset on October 13, 2003.

COMMITTEE REPORTS

Oral Examination

Upon motion made by Dr. Patterson and seconded by Dr. Tindall, the Board voted to convert to a scoring system for the oral examination. Zero [0] will equal No and One [1] will equal Yes for each question.

Respectfully submitted,

Mark Glat, Psy.D., Secretary

APPROVED BY:

Date: Victoria W. Jeffers, Ph.D.
Chair

